

6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE

CINCINNATI, OH 45224

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 5738 09/831,610 05/10/2001 Thomas Wolfgang Friedrich Hirn CM1956Q EXAMINER 27752 7590 12/15/2003 THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY CAIN, EDWARD J INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161

1714

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)
	•	09/83	31,610	HIRN ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Exam	niner	Art Unit
		Edwa	rd J. Cain	1714
	The MAILING DATE of this comm or Reply	unication appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROVINCE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROVINCE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROVINCE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In communication. by (30) days, a reply within th m statutory period will apply a eply will, by statute, cause th hs after the mailing date of the	no event, however, may a be statutory minimum of the and will expire SIX (6) Mo the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.	•
3)	Since this application is in conditiclosed in accordance with the pra	on for allowance exe actice under <i>Ex part</i> e	cept for formal ma e Quayle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the ments is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
)isposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,3-10 and 13-17 is/are  Claim(s) 2,11 and 12 is/are object  Claim(s) are subject to res	s/are withdrawn from rejected. ted to.	·	
•	ion Papers		·	
9)	The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	ire: a)□ accepted o	or b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any o	-		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
•	The oath or declaration is objected	d to by the Examine	r. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
* ; 13)	since a specific reference was inclu B7 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign Acknowledgment is made of a clair	of:  ity documents have ity documents have es of the priority docutional Bureau (PCT ction for a list of the for domestic priority ded in the first senter for domestic priority anguage provisional for domestic priority.	been received. been received in cuments have bee Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies no ity under 35 U.S.0 ence of the specified al application has ity under 35 U.S.0	Application No en received in this National Stage of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application ication or in an Application Data Sheet
Attachmer	nt(s)			•
1) 🔲 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1448			v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/831,610

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 9, 10, 13, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bonora.

Bonora discloses films of low density polyethylene comprising metal oxides such as zinc oxide and benzotriazoles (see claims) formed by blow extrusion (example 1).

Applicants limitations to barrier wavelengths and haze are seen as inherent to the films of the reference since their chemical compositions meet the limitations of the rejected claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-8, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonora in view of Knoerzer et al.

Bonora discloses polymeric films as discussed above. This reference fails to explicitly recite particle sizes for the metal oxides, the use of titanium oxide, orientation of the films or applicants preferred film thickness.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,610

Art Unit: 1714

Knoerzer et al discloses the use of nanoscale particles of titanium dioxide as UV barriers for polyolefin films which may be oriented (see abstract and column 2, line 50).

Regarding applicants claimed film thickness, values such as are claimed are seen as obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art desiring to tailor the film to a particular application.

Claims 2, 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Cain whose telephone number is (571) 272-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

